arXiv:1510.04116v1 [hep-ph] 14 Oct 2015

Radius stabilization and dark matter with a bulk Higgs in warped extra dimension*

A. Ahmed[1,2†], B. Grzadkowski[1], J. F. Gunion[3] and Y. Jiang[4]

[1]Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Pasteura 5, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland
[2]National Centre for Physics, Quaid-i-Azam University Campus, Shahdra Valley Road, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan
[3]Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, U.S.A.
[4]Niels Bohr International Academy, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

We employ an $SU(2)$ bulk Higgs doublet as the stabilization field in Randall-Sundrum model with appropriate bulk and brane-localized potentials. The gauge hierarchy problem can be solved for an exponentially IR-localized Higgs background field with mild values of fundamental parameters of the 5D theory. We consider an IR-UV-IR background geometry with the 5D SM fields in the bulk such that all the fields have even and odd tower of KK-modes. The zero-mode 4D effective theory contains all the SM fields plus a stable scalar, which serves as a dark matter candidate.

PACS numbers: 04.50.-h, 11.10.Kk, 12.60.Fr, 12.60.-i, 95.35.+d

1. Introduction

The 5D warped model of Randall and Sundrum (RS) with two D3-branes (RS1) provides an elegant solution to *the hierarchy problem* [1]. The two D3-branes are localized on the fixed points of the orbifold $S_1/\mathbb{Z}_2$, a "UV-brane" at $y = 0$ and an "IR-brane" at $y = L$ — the UV-IR model, see Fig. 1. The solution for the RS geometry is [1],

$$ds^2 = e^{2k|y|}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2, \tag{1}$$

where k is a constant of the order of 5D Planck mass M_*. Randall and Sundrum showed that if the 5D theory involves only one mass scale M_* then,

* Presented at the XXXIX International Conference of Theoretical Physics "Matter To The Deepest", Ustroń, Poland, September 13–18, 2015.
† Speaker: aqeel.ahmed@fuw.edu.pl



Fig. 1: Cartoon of RS1 geometry.

due to the presence of non-trivial warping along the extra-dimension, the effective mass scale on the IR-brane is rescaled to $m_{KK} \equiv ke^{-kL} \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$ for $kL \sim \mathcal{O}(37)$. However, the RS proposal [1] lacked the mechanism of stabilizing the separation L between the two branes. A stabilization mechanism for the RS1 geometry was proposed by Goldberger and Wise (GW) [2]; which employs a real scalar field in the bulk of RS geometry with localized potentials on both branes.

The aim of this work is twofold: (*i*) to analyse the GW stabilization mechanism with an $SU(2)$ bulk Higgs doublet [1] and, (*ii*) to investigate the lowest odd KK-mode of the bulk Higgs in a 5D $\mathbb{Z}_2$-symmetric model with *warped KK-parity* [4, 5]. In order to achieve the first goal, we consider an $SU(2)$ Higgs doublet in the bulk of RS1 geometry in Sec. 2 and use the *superpotential method* to solve the Higgs-gravity coupled Einstein equations [4, 6]. The second goal is achieved in Sec. 3, where we introduce the IR-UV-IR model with a warped KK-parity and consider the 5D SM bosonic sector in the bulk of this model. In the zero-mode effective theory we have a stable scalar, which is the dark matter candidate, along with all the SM fields.

2. Radius stabilization with a bulk Higgs doublet

We employ an $SU(2)$ Higgs doublet H in the bulk of a 5D geometric interval $y \in [0, L]$ with the following scalar-gravity action,

$$S = \int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\Big\{2M_*^3 R - |D_M H|^2 - V(H) - \sum_i V_i(H)\delta(y - y_i)\Big\}, \quad (2)$$

where $V(H)$ and $V_i(H)$ (for $i = 1, 2$) are the bulk and brane potentials, respectively, whereas $y_{1(2)} \equiv 0(L)$ are the UV(IR)-brane locations. We

[1] Recently Geller et al. [3] also treated an $SU(2)$ bulk Higgs doublet as a stabilization field, however, they assumed weak backreaction and have not solved the full Higgs-gravity coupled Einstein equations.

employ the following metric ansatz:

$$ds^2 = e^{2\sigma(y)}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2, \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma(y)$ is a y-dependent warp-function and $\eta_{\mu\nu} \equiv \text{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$. We write the y-dependent vacuum expectation value (vev) of the Higgs field as:

$$\langle H \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h_v(y) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The background scalar-gravity coupled Einstein equations following from the action (2) and the metric ansatz (3) can be solved analytically by using the *superpotential method* [7]. We assume the scalar potential $V(h_v)$ can be written in the following form:

$$V(h_v) = \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{W}(h_v)}{\partial h_v}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{24M_*^3}\mathcal{W}(h_v)^2, \tag{5}$$

where the superpotential $\mathcal{W}(h_v)$ satisfies:

$$h_v' = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \mathcal{W}(h_v)}{\partial h_v}, \qquad \sigma' = -\frac{\mathcal{W}(h_v)}{24M_*^3}, \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}(h_v)\Big|_{y_i-\epsilon}^{y_i+\epsilon} = V_i(h_v)\Big|_{h_v=h_v(y_i)}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \mathcal{W}(h_v)}{\partial h_v}\Big|_{y_i-\epsilon}^{y_i+\epsilon} = \frac{\partial V_i(h_v)}{\partial h_v}\Big|_{h_v=h_v(y_i)}, \tag{7}$$

where a *prime* denotes a derivative w.r.t. the y-coordinate. The last line above follows from the *jump* conditions across the branes.

We consider the following form of superpotential $\mathcal{W}(h_v)$

$$\mathcal{W}(h_v) = 24M_*^3 k + \Delta k h_v^2, \qquad \Delta \equiv 2 + \sqrt{4 + \mu_B^2/k^2}, \tag{8}$$

where Δ parameterises the bulk Higgs mass μ_B and in the dual CFT it corresponds to the scaling dimension of the composite operator $\mathcal{O}_H$. The scalar potential $V(h_v)$ following from Eq. (5) takes the form

$$V(h_v) = -24M_*^3 k^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_B^2 h_v^2 - \frac{\Delta^2 k^2}{24M_*^3}h_v^4. \tag{9}$$

We employ the following forms of the brane-localized potentials,

$$V_{1(2)}(h_v) = \pm\mathcal{W}(h_v) + \frac{\lambda_{1(2)}}{4k^2}\left(h_v^2 - v_{1(2)}^2\right)^2, \tag{10}$$



Fig. 2: The left graph illustrates shapes of the background vev $h_v(y)$ and the warp factor $e^{\sigma(y)}$ as a function of y, whereas, the right graph shows shapes of superpotential $\mathcal{W}(h_v)$ and the bulk potential $V(h_v)$ as a function of background vev h_v. The parameter choice adopted for the graphs is: $\Delta = 2$ and $k = v_2 = M_* = 1$.

where $v_{1(2)}$ and $\lambda_{1(2)}$ are the values of background vevs and quartic couplings at the UV (IR) branes, respectively. The background vev $h_v(y)$ and warp-function $\sigma(y)$ are obtained by integrating Eq. (6) (see Fig. 2):

$$h_v(y) = v_2 e^{\Delta k(|y|-L)}, \qquad \sigma(y) = -k|y| - \frac{v_2^2 e^{-2\Delta kL}}{48M_*^3}\Big[e^{2\Delta k|y|} - 1\Big]. \quad (11)$$

The background vev profile $h_v(y)$ satisfies following normalization condition:

$$\int_0^L dy e^{2\sigma(y)} h_v^2(y) = v_{SM}^2, \quad (12)$$

where $v_{SM} \simeq 246$ GeV is the SM Higgs vev. The brane separation L is fixed by Eq. (11) as:

$$kL = \frac{1}{\Delta} \ln\left(\frac{v_2}{v_1}\right). \quad (13)$$

For $\Delta \geq 2$, in order to solve the gauge hierarchy problem one needs to have $kL \simeq \mathcal{O}(37)$, which implies that $v_1 \ll v_2$ [2]. For instance, Eq. (12) gives,

$$v_{SM} \simeq \frac{v_2 e^{-kL}}{\sqrt{k(\Delta - 1)}} \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV}), \quad (14)$$

for $v_2 \sim \mathcal{O}(M_{\text{Pl}})$, $\Delta \geq 2$ and $kL \sim \mathcal{O}(37)$.

[2] Note that the requirement $v_1 \ll v_2$ is not an extra fine-tuning other than the one required to set 4D cosmological constant zero, see also [3].

3. Warped Higgs dark matter

In this section, we consider a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-symmetric, IR-UV-IR, warped extra dimensional model presented in Ref. [4]. The geometric $\mathbb{Z}_2$-symmetry leads to a *warped KK-parity*, under which all the bulk fields have towers of even and odd KK-modes. The lowest odd KK-particle (LKP) is stable and hence can serve as a dark matter candidate.

As it is shown in Ref. [5] the "IR-UV-IR" geometry is equivalent to a single copy of "UV-IR" RS1 geometry, where all the bulk fields satisfy Neumann (or mixed) and Dirichlet boundary conditions (b.c.) at $y = 0$ corresponding to the even and odd fields. Hence, we discuss merely UV-IR geometry with two sets of b.c. at $y = 0$. We consider the bosonic sector of the SM gauge group in the bulk of RS1 geometry [3],

$$S = -\int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\Big\{\frac{1}{4}F^a_{MN}F^{aMN} + \frac{1}{4}B_{MN}B^{MN} + |D_M H|^2 + \mu_B^2|H|^2 + V_1(H)\delta(y) + V_2(H)\delta(y-L)\Big\}, \tag{15}$$

where the brane-localized potentials are

$$V_1(H) = \frac{m_{UV}^2}{k}|H|^2, \qquad V_2(H) = -\frac{m_{IR}^2}{k}|H|^2 + \frac{\lambda_{IR}}{k^2}|H|^4, \tag{16}$$

and $F^a_{MN}[B_{MN}]$ is the 5D field strength tensor for $SU(2)[U(1)_Y]$.

It is a straightforward exercise to obtain an effective 4D theory by integrating the 5th dimension after KK-decomposition of the bulk fields. After obtaining the 4D effective theory, one can get a low-energy 4D effective theory by assuming the KK-scale, $m_{KK} \equiv ke^{-kL} \sim \mathcal{O}$(few) TeV, is much heavier than all the zero-modes of the theory [4, 5]. It turns out, after applying the appropriate b.c., that the odd zero-mode wave functions for the gauge fields (same for the odd Goldstone zero-modes) are zero. Hence the odd zero-mode gauge fields and the odd Goldstone modes of the zero-mode odd Higgs doublet are not present in the effective theory. With these observations, we can write the 4D effective Lagrangian for the zero-modes as,

$$\mathcal{L}^{4D}_{eff} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}^+_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{W}^{-\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{Z}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{Z}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} - m_W^2 W^+_\mu W^{-\mu} - \frac{1}{2}m_Z^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu h\partial^\mu h - \frac{1}{2}m_h^2 h^2 - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\chi\partial^\mu\chi - \frac{1}{2}m_\chi^2\chi^2 - \lambda v h^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4}h^4$$

[3] In this section we consider $\sigma(y) \simeq -k|y|$, i.e. weak back reaction. Moreover, we neglect the quartic terms in the bulk and UV-brane Higgs potentials, as these terms are suppressed, and introduce some convenient notations for brane potential parameters.



Fig. 3: Dark matter annihilation diagrams.

$$-\frac{\lambda}{4}\chi^4 - 3\lambda v h\chi^2 - \frac{3}{2}\lambda h^2\chi^2 - \frac{g_4^2}{2}vW_\mu^+W^{-\mu}h - \frac{g_4^2}{4}W_\mu^+W^{-\mu}(h^2+\chi^2)$$
$$-\frac{1}{4}(g_4^2+g_4'^2)vhZ_\mu Z^\mu - \frac{1}{8}(g_4^2+g_4'^2)Z_\mu Z^\mu(h^2+\chi^2), \qquad (17)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength tensor of the SM gauge bosons V_μ. Notice that the above zero-mode 4D effective Lagrangian contains all the SM fields (including the Higgs boson h) plus a scalar χ, component of the odd zero-mode Higgs field. The above Lagrangian has a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-symmetry [4], under which all the SM fields are even, whereas the scalar χ is odd, i.e. $\chi \to -\chi$. Hence the scalar χ is our dark matter candidate. The masses of the SM Higgs boson h, dark-Higgs χ and gauge bosons are

$$m_h^2 = 2\mu^2, \qquad m_\chi^2 = m_h^2 + \delta m^2, \qquad m_W^2 = \frac{g_4^2 m_Z^2}{g_4^2+g_4'^2} = \frac{1}{4}g_4^2\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}, \qquad (18)$$

where $m_h = 125$ GeV and $\delta m^2 \equiv 3m_{KK}^2 m_t^2/(4\pi^2 v_{SM}^2)$ is the shift in the dark-Higgs mass due to quantum correction (quadratically divergent) below the cut-off scale m_{KK} [4]. The parameters of the above effective Lagrangian μ, v_{SM}, λ, g_4 and g_4' are determined in terms of the 5D fundamental parameters of the theory, which are then fixed in such a way that we recover all the SM values of these parameters within our low-energy effective theory.

Now we calculate the annihilation cross-section and the relic abundance of the dark-matter. The Feynman diagrams contributing to dark matter annihilation are shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 4 (left panel) we have plotted the annihilation cross-section for the contributing channels as a function of m_χ. As shown in the graph the total cross section is dominated by WW and ZZ final states. The main contributions for these final states are those generated by contact interactions $\chi\chi WW(ZZ)$, whereas, all the other final states that include the Higgs boson h or the top quark is very small in comparison to $\chi\chi \to WW(ZZ)$. The dark matter relic abundance $\Omega_\chi h^2$

[4] This $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry is a manifestation of the warped KK-parity, see e.g. [4, 5].



Fig. 4: The above graphs show the annihilation cross-section σ_0 for different final states (left) and the χ abundance $\Omega_\chi h^2 \times 10^4$ (right) as a function of dark matter mass m_χ.

is shown in Fig. 4 (right panel). We observe that $\Omega_\chi h^2 \lesssim 10^{-4}$ once the electroweak precision bound on the KK mass scale m_{KK} is imposed [4].

4. Conclusions

In this work we have investigated two implications of the bulk Higgs doublet in warped extra dimensions.

- We present the $SU(2)$ bulk Higgs doublet in warped extra dimension as the GW stabilizing field, where the superpotential method is employed to solve the Higgs-gravity coupled Einstein equations. We show that an IR-localized Higgs background can fix the size of extra dimension such that it solves the hierarchy problem.

- We consider a geometric $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetric model of warped extra dimension, the IR-UV-IR, which has a warped KK-parity. Within this model we investigated the zero-mode effective theory for the bulk Standard Model (SM) bosonic sector. This effective theory contains all the SM fields and a stable scalar particle which is a dark matter candidate. It is found that this dark matter candidate can provide only a small fraction of the observed dark matter abundance.

This work has been supported in part by the National Science Centre (Poland) as research projects no DEC-2014/15/B/ST2/00108 and DEC-2014/13/B/ST2/03969. AA would like to thank Barry Dillon for reading the draft and valuable comments.

REFERENCES

[1] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999) [hep-ph/9905221].

[2] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4922 (1999), [hep-ph/9907447].

[3] M. Geller, S. Bar-Shalom, and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. **D89**, 095015 (2014), [arXiv:1312.3331].

[4] A. Ahmed, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, JHEP **1510** (2015) 033 [arXiv:1504.03706].

[5] A. Ahmed, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion and Y. Jiang, "Higgs Dark Matter from a Warped Extra-Dimension," PoS(PLANCK 2015)002.

[6] A. Ahmed, B. M. Dillon and B. Grzadkowski, "Higgs-Radion Unification and Electroweak Precision Observables in Warped Extra Dimension", *in preparation.*

[7] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser and A. Karch, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 046008 (2000) [hep-th/9909134].